Monitoring seasonal changes in Plant Traits: Exploring the relationship between vegetation water status and water fluxes for a tree-grass environment





18.01.2018

Simposio de alumnos TIG Universidad de Alcala (UAH) Vicente Burchard Levine





CSIC















# INTRODUCTION

- **Vegetative systems**, both cultivated and natural, represent a significant source and flux of water
- Crucial link between carbon, water and energy cycles with important ecological and agricultural implications → Drought monitoring, effects of water stress
- Canopy Water Content (**CWC**, g/cm2)  $\rightarrow$  Mass of water in canopy per ground area (**Water status**)
- Evapotranspiration (**ET**, mm/d)  $\rightarrow$  Soil evaporation + transpiration (**Water flux**)





## 1 | INTRODUCTION

## STUDY SITE – Majadas de Tietar, Extremadura



- Mediteranan Tree-Grass (*dehesa*) ecosystem
- Continental Mediterranean climate
  - Mean annual Temp: 16.7 C
  - Mean annual P: 650mm
- 20% tree cover (mainly Holm oak Quercus ilex)
- Long history of environmental monitoring
  - FLUXNET tower (2003-)
  - Many ground sampling campaigns
- Time period: 2010-2017
  - 10 flight campaigns
    - 8 INTA (AHS-CASI), 1 drone, and1 Quantalab manned aircraft

















# OBJECTIVES

Overall goal: Estimating, monitoring and comparing seasonal changes in CWC and ET using multi-source and multi-scale spectral information in Majadas between 2010-2017

#### **Phase 1: ET Modeling**

- Modeling ET using two source energy balance (TSEB) scheme and compare against a one source energy balance scheme (SEBAL)
- Thermal Sharpening of airborne images (AHS to CASI) to be used as a reference for sharpening sentinel-3 to sentinel-2 scale
- Separation/un-mixing of canopy, grass and soil components for adapted modeling scheme (Three source model)
  - Fine resolution airborne data as a reference for separating components at medium spatial scale

#### Phase 2: CWC Modeling

Radiative transfer models and spectral indices

#### Phase 3: Investigate relationship between ET and CWC for the estimation of water fluxes





















# METHODOLOGY – TSEB Scheme

Developed by Normal et al. (1995)



System, soll, canopy budgets
$R_n = H + \lambda E + G$
$R_{n,s} = H_s + \lambda E_s + G$
$R_{n,c} = H_c + \lambda E_c$
Two-source approximation
$T_{RAD}(\theta)^4 {}^{\sim}f_{C}(\theta)T_{C}{}^4 + \left[\texttt{1-f}_{C}(\theta)\right]T_{S}{}^4$
Temperature constraint
H <sub>c</sub> , H <sub>s</sub> , R <sub>n,c</sub> , R <sub>n,s</sub> , G
PT , PM or LUE R <sub>c</sub> model

., , , , , ,

 $\frac{\lambda E_{c}}{\lambda E_{s} = R_{n} - H - G - \lambda E_{c}}$ 

- Two layer model that computes turbulent fluxes as function of:
  - Directional surface temperature with VZA
    LAI, Fc
  - Canopy architecture (height and leaf size)
  - Irradiance, Ta, Wind Speed and humidity
- Calculates ET (latent heat) as a residual of energy balance

 Decouples energy fluxes for **plant** canopy and soil components

 $\lambda ET = R_n - H - G$ 

Accommodates for sensor viewing angle









Iterative solution











# **METHODOLOGY – Thermal Sharpening**

**Data Mining Sharpener (DMS)**: Machine learning algorithm for disaggregation of low-resolution images using high-resolution images (Based on Gao et al. (2012))











EXETER

vito

JÜLICH



2 | METHODOLOGY

## **METHODOLOGY – Thermal Sharpening**























## PRELIMINARY RESULTS

Test run using flux tower time series data (Main, North and South tower)

North Tower 2015

Н • LE







200

TSEB (W/m2)

300

400

500

600

100



South Tower 2015

H = 62.0 H = -21.0

H = 0.89

• LE

• H

RMSD: LE = 48.0 bias: LE = 3.0 r: LE = 0.93

600

500

400

300

 $(W/m^2)$ 

EC 200





500

400

300

200

100

-100 -

-100

 $(W/m^2)$ 

Ē

r:







......





-100 -









## PRELIMINARY RESULTS

## Running with AHS images























## NEXT STEPS

#### Point scale time series from flux towers

 Sensitivity analysis/calibration of input biophysical parameters (i.e LAI, Canopy height, wind attenuation profiles)

### **High resolution AHS-CASI Data**

- Downscaling AHS LST images to CASI resolution and compare with non-sharpened results
- Running TSEB for Grass (Two-Source) and Oak (One-Source) seperately
  - Validate with flux tower data (Rn, LE, H and G)
  - Obtain high resolution flux maps to be used as a reference

#### Medium resolution sentinel-2 (3) and Landsat

- Investigate methods to separate mixed pixels to obtain both Oak and grass/soil temperatures at sentinel-2 pixel level (based on AHS-CASI maps)
- Thermal Sharpening from sentinel-3 to sentinel-2



















4 | NEXT STEPS





# **GRACIAS!**

Vicente Burchard Levine 18/01/2018

vburchardlevine@gmail.com

















